Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/038,604	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application	i:
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Douglas Pearson, Reg. # 47,851</u> for Chad Wieland.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A	pplicant's representative)	·
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	·	
Part I.		v.
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none		
Claims discussed:		·
1 and 15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Constitution Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a grate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Holle Clina		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App.	licant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Wieland was contacted on 4/6/04 to discuss the possibility of amending claims 1 and 15 to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Pearson called back on Arpil 12 on Mr. Wieland's behalf to authorize an examiner's amendment correcting minor informailies in claims 1 and 15 and adding the limitation "stronger than -500 Oe" to claims 1 and 15 to overcome the prior art.

HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER